Evaluation of the satellite-based Global Flood Detection System (GFDS) for measuring river discharge

Revilla-Romero, B., Thielen, J. Salamon, P., De Groeve, T., Brakenridge, G. R

- **1. Motivation: Scarce availability of real-time river** discharge data
- Challenge: Limited availability of observational data for calibration and model verification, especially for real time applications.
- Possible solution: Use of satellite-based discharge measurements to substitute real-time ground measurements.
- Aim: test the Global Flood Detection System (GFDS) on a Global scale for converting the flood detection signal into river discharge measurements and assess influence of local characteristics.



¹ http://www.gdacs.org/flooddetection

© European Union, 2012

Space-based river gauging and flood monitoring system using passive microwave remote sensing. Measures daily water surface changes¹. Footprint size ~ 8x12km.

Developed by the Joint Research Centre (JRC) and Dartmouth Flood Observatory.

I b measurament b calibration

Flood Signal \propto to % water coverage within the pixels: $s = \frac{M}{m}$ Flood Magnitude \propto to anomaly of the signal



www.globalfloods.eu



European Commission

4. Satellite signal calibration and validation

• Calibration: 5 years with 2 datasets (satellite signal and ground discharge) for selected locations. Linear regression built:

Q_{GFDS measured} = a +b signal a, b were obtained using monthly mean Q_{ground observed} and signal

• Validation using daily data

Selection 322 stations \rightarrow both datasets \geq 7 years NSE[VALIDATION] <0 0-0.2 0.2-0.5

GRDC rivers Circle size is proportional to the daily mean observed discharge at the river station

5. Performance Factors

0.5-0.75

● >0.75

The quality of the microwave signal detected by the satellite sensors can be influenced by local ground conditions. River flow control infrastructures and regimes can also alter the expected runoff to be measured. A number of factors were studied:

- Mean observed runoff and Upstream catchment area
- **River width:** Global River Width Database for Larger Rivers (2014)
- Presence of Floodplains, Flooded Forest and Wetlands: GLWD-3
- **Land Cover**: Global Land Cover 2009
- Climatic areas: Köppen-Geiger climate
- from the Global Flood Hazard Map
- **River Ice**: Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost
- **Dam location**: Global Reservoir and Dam (GRanD) Database.

In situ discharge \rightarrow Global Runoff Data Centre (GRDC) + South African Water

GFDS Satellite signal \rightarrow TRMM² + AMSR-E³ (now using AMSR-E2)

Study period:1998-2010 (or 2002-2010 were only AMSR-E)

Joint Research Centre



European Commission • Joint Research Centre Institute for Environment and Sustainability. Climate Risk Management Unit Email: beatriz.revilla-romero@jrc.ec.europa.eu



Leaf Area Index (LAI): 36 ten-day composites of the CYCLOPES (1 km)

• Potential flood extent (25 x 25 km cells) for a 100 year return period

performance



7. Conclusions and future work

- Validation resulted in **46%** of stations with **NSE>0**
- Highlight: Good scores (NSE >0.75) for stations Africa: Eg. Niger, Volta and Zambezi
- Ongoing work and Future steps:
- Testing the **potential of derived discharge from** the satellite-based GFDS for calibration of the Global Flood Awareness System (GloFAS) flood forecasting model
- **Data integration** into GloFAS model for improving flood forecasting.

References

Brakenridge, G.R., Nghiem, S.V., Anderson, E. & Mic, R. (2007) Orbital microwave measurement of river discharge and ice status, Water Resources Research, vol. 43, no. 4. Breiman, L.(2001): Random Forests. Machine Learning, 45, 5–32. Kugler, Z, De Groeve, T. (2007) The Global Flood Detection System. European Commission; Joint Research Centre Revilla-Romero, B., Thielen J., Salamon P., De Groeve, T., Brakenridge, G. R (2014). Evaluation of the satellite-based Global Flood Detection System for measuring river discharge: Influence of local factors. Accepted by Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESSD).

6. Ranking: Variables Influencing the GFDS

• Based on the Random Forest decision tree analysis (Breiman, 2001). Average of 200 runs, tree no.=500

- 4 training sets (random) 70%/75%/80%/90% and
- Validation with remaining 30%/25%/20%/10%

• It is feasible to measure discharge from GFDS signal.

Major Influence factor: Mean Observed Runoff