Estimating seasonal streamflow forecast elasticities using a variational ensemble streamflow predictability assessment (VESPA)

## Andy Wood

Tom Hopson, Andy Newman, Martyn Clark NCAR Research Applications Laboratory, Boulder, CO

#### Levi Brekke

US Bureau of Reclamation Technical Services Center, Denver, CO

#### **Jeff Arnold**

US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources, Alexandria, VA



HEPEX Workshop on Seasonal Hydrometeorological Forecasting September 22-24, 2015 – SMHI, Norkopping, Sweden

## Sources of Streamflow Predictability

NCAR RAL/HAP

# hydrological predictability meteorological predictability



<u>Hydrological Prediction</u>: How well can we estimate catchment dynamics?

- Accuracy in precipitation and temperature estimates
- Fidelity of hydrology models – process/structure
- Effectiveness of hydrologic data assimilation methods

#### Atmospheric predictability:

How well can we forecast the weather and climate?

<u>Opportunities</u>: How do these areas influence forecast skill inform different water applications?

## Watershed Modeling Dataset

- Goal: framework for calibrating and running watershed models CONUS-wide
- Basin Selection
  - Used GAGES-II, Hydro-climatic data network (HCDN)-2009
- Initial Data & Models, Calibration Approach
  - Forcing via Daymet (<u>http://daymet.ornl.gov/</u>)
  - NWS operational Snow-17 and Sacramento-soil moisture accounting model (Snow-17/SAC)
  - Shuffled complex evolution (SCE) global optimization routine
  - See <u>http://www.ral.ucar.edu/staff/wood/watersheds/</u>

NSE Calibration



Andy Newman

NCAR

RAL/HAP

#### Hydro-climatic/Seasonal Variation in Watershed Moisture NCAR RAL/HAP

- Focused on 424 of Sac/Snow17 models for 424 of the Newman et al 762 basins
- Contrasting two today (1) humid Eastern US basin...



#### Hydro-climatic/Seasonal Variation in Watershed Moisture NCAR RAL/HAP

- Focused on 424 of Sac/Snow17 models for 424 of the Newman et al 762 basins
- Contrasting two today (2) snowy Western US basin...



## Assessing the sources of flow forecast skill

#### vary predictor uncertainty $\rightarrow$ measure streamflow forecast uncertainty

NCAR

RAL/HAP



**ESP** (NWS ensemble streamflow prediction) compared with climatology:

- shows influence of uncertainty in **seasonal climate forecasts (SCFs)** on streamflow forecast uncertainty

## Assessing the sources of flow forecast skill

#### vary predictor uncertainty $\rightarrow$ measure streamflow forecast uncertainty



#### c. Climatology



#### b. "Reverse-ESP" forecast



**Reverse-ESP**:

- shows influence of uncertainty in **initial hydrologic conditions (IHCs)** on streamflow forecast uncertainty

Wood & Lettenmaier, GRL 2008

NCAR

RAL/HAP

## Assessing the sources of flow forecast skill

#### vary predictor uncertainty $\rightarrow$ measure streamflow forecast uncertainty

#### a. ESP perfect retrospective met data to generate a perfect IHC Spin-up ICs Forecast obs yr

b. "Reverse-ESP" forecast



'VESPA':

- explores influence of variations in **SCF and IHC uncertainty** on streamflow forecast uncertainty NCAR

RAL/HAP

#### d. VESPA forecast



#### Wood et al (JHM 2015, in review)

## Implementation details

ESP & 'reverse ESP' are end-points – what about realistic/intermediate uncertainties?

- Define weight w = fraction between 0 and 1.
  - **w**=0 means no uncertainty and **w**=1 means climatological uncertainty
- Modify IHC vector (soil moistures, snow) for each ens. year in climatological period
  - ICmod = ICactual\_yr \*(1-w) + ICens\_yr\*w
- Modify SCF met. forcings (precip, temperature) for each year in forecast ensemble
  - SCFmod = SCFactual\_yr\*(1-w) + SCFens\_yr\*w
  - weights applied at monthly timestep; actual year daily meteorology scaled to result
- Results in all IHC states initialize all met ensemble members (eg, 30 x 30)
- Assess against model simulations as 'obs' an idealized 'perfect model' experiment
- Note:  $w_{ihc} = 0$  and  $w_{scf} = 1$  not exactly equal to ESP



## Moving beyond the end points

NCAR RAL/HAP

## Weights explored:

- Scale IC and Met Forecast variance (uncertainty) between
  - 0 = perfect knowledge & 1 = climatological uncertainty
- Assess flow forecast skill for range of combinations of IHC and SCF weighting:
  - w = 0.00, 0.05, 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 0.90, 0.95, 1.00 (9 weights)
- Given w, the resulting variance explained in IHCs or SCFs (monthly) is ~:
  - (1-**w**<sup>2</sup>)\*100 = 100, 99.8, 99, 94, 75, 44, 19, 10, 0
  - (in retrospect, the weights could have been better chosen to span this range)



Note: 424 basins \* 12 start dates \* 81 weight combinations \* 30 IHCs \* 30 met. traces = 370M simulations

## Visualizing predictability

NCAR RAL/HAP

## Demo of predictability influences for one location

## http://www.ral.ucar.edu/staff/wood/weights/

## Seasonal Variation in Watershed Moisture





- uniform rainfall
- no snow
- small cycle driven by ET

NCAR

RAL/HAP



- cold basin
- drier summers
- deep snow
- large seasonal cycle
- April snowmelt
   dominates May-June
   runoff

## Snow-Driven Basin in the Western US

- Wide seasonal variations in influence of different skill sources
- cold forecast period (Dec-Feb) -- forecast skill depends mainly on initial condition accuracy
- warmer snowmelt forecast period forecast skill depends strongly on met. forecast skill



IHC: initial Hydrologic Conditions SCF: Seasonal Climate Forecasts



## Snow-Driven Basin in the Western US

- Sensitivities depend on predictand duration
- For 1 month runoff (lead 0), IHCs dominate forecast



IHC: initial Hydrologic Conditions SCF: Seasonal Climate Forecasts



IHC Uncertainty (Fraction of Climo Variance)

## Snow-Driven Basin in the Western US

- Sensitivities depend on predictand duration
- For 6 month runoff (lead 0), SCFs have more influence than for shorter predictands



IHC: initial Hydrologic Conditions SCF: Seasonal Climate Forecasts



## Humid Basin in the Eastern US

- Few seasonal variations in streamflow skill dependence
- Forecast skill (3 months) is always a blend of IHC and SCF influence



IHC: initial Hydrologic Conditions SCF: Seasonal Climate Forecasts



0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 IHC Uncertainty (Fraction of Climo Variance)

## VESPA gradients allow calculation of skill elasticities



IHC: initial Hydrologic Conditions SCF: Seasonal Climate Forecasts We can ask: For a specific flow forecast in a given location, what is the best way to improve the skill?

## Flow Forecast Skill Elasticities

 The % change in flow forecast skill versus per % change in predictor source skill

- Can help estimate the benefits of investment to improve forecasts in each area (IHC, SCF)
  - for a predictand of interest
  - for a time of interest
- Results emphasize that both SCF skill and IHC skill are important, depending on the forecast being made and the location
- This work is funded by water management agencies – Reclamation and US Army Corps of Engineers

Skill Elasticities for 3–month Streamflow Forecasts
Flow Forecast / SCF
Flow Forecast / IHC

















0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 unit change skill / unit change skill

## Summary

- VESPA approach provides insight into seasonal and hydroclimatic variations in streamflow forecast skill dependence
  - goes beyond earlier ESP/reverse ESP predictability end-point framework
  - allows calculation of forecast skill elasticities (a new concept)
  - provides a tool for understanding potential benefit of forecast system improvements
- 424-basin assessment provides regional / seasonal view of forecast skill variations
- elasticities > 1 for SCF implies benefits of climate forecasts for hydrology can be more valuable than expected
- Assumes perfect model model error an area for future exploration

## Websites

- <u>http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/hap/flowpredict/</u>
- <u>http://www.ral.ucar.edu/staff/wood/weights/</u>

## Acknowledge:

- US Army Corps of Engineers
- US Bureau of Reclamation