HEPEX Seasonal hydrological forecasting workshop, Norrköping 21-23 September 2015



VALUE OF IN-SITU AND SATELLITE BASED SNOW OBSERVATIONS FOR IMPROVING SEASONAL RUNOFF PREDICTIONS

(work in progress)

David Gustafsson, Anna Kuentz, Barbro Johansson, Göran Lindström and Joel Dahne Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute SMHI (david.gustafsson@smhi.se)

<u>SMHI</u>

Background

How to integrating snow observations in seasonal runoff predictions for hydropower management?

 Currently and in the past - numerous projects with Swedish hydropower industry (Elforsk/HUVA)

EU FP7 CryoLand 2011-2015

 Products and Services for satellite based Snow and Land Ice data

Data integration

- Tools for integration of CryoLand snow products in hydrological models:
 - download, pre-process, assimilation

Hydrological modelling

 Evaluate impact on stream flow simulations of assimilating satellite snow data ELFORSK











HIST.ENS

ECWMF ECMWF^{*}

PTHBV

PTHBV-E PTHBV-E*

In-situ data case-study: assimilation in seasonal hydrological forecasts





Gustafsson et al, 2009-2012: NHC2012

- High resolution SWE data along
 representative measurement lines
- **Spatial interpolation** to hydropower reservoir basins
- Spring melt forecasts (15 April-31 July):
 - Ensembles of historical years/ECMWF seasonal forecasts (v4?)
 - **Improved** by updating model snow storage to the interpolated in-situ data
 - Not consistent year-to-year.



Operational observations of snow and the use of these observations in Sweden?

- Daily snow depth observations by SMHI at ~600 stations:
- ~20 Annual snow courses by hydropower companies
- ~10² km Helicopter GPR surveys (by Vattenfall AB)
- Bi-weekly observation of depth, density and SWE by hydropower companies (VRF AB) at ~50 reservoir dams:
- Snow-mobile GPR surveys 2007-2015 (~30km by KTH/SMHI/SU/VRF)







Deviation in mean snow depth (mod vs obs) 601 stations





Correlation analysis Snow depth correlation higher North-South than East-West





Cryoland Case-study: Sweden

Hydrological model S-HYPE

Swedish operational application of HYPE model

CryoLand satellite snow products used in the study:

- Pan-European Snow Water Equivalent (SWE) FMI
 - Satellite-based microwave radiometer data (DMSP SSM/I) and weather station snow depth data
 - Pixel size 0.1°x 0.1° (~10x10km²)

Pan-European Fractional Snow Cover (FSC) – ENVEO/SYKE

- Optical satellite data (MODIS/Terra)
- Pixel size 0.005°x0.005° (~500x500m²)

Scandinavian Multi-temporal FSC products - NR/NORUT

- Multi-temporal (latest cloud-free information last 7 days)
- MODIS/Terra (250x250m²)



- Daily data 2011-2013
- Pan-European area:
 72°N / 11°W to 35°N / 50°E.



CryoLand SWE vs S-HYPE modellen

Pan-European SWE product (FMI)

- Good agreement in central part of middle and northern Sweden:
 - Forests
 - Non-mountain areas
- Correlation is high (except for the south)
- Variability and Mean value differs:
 - In the south (little snow and lakes)
 - along the east coast
 - western mountain range
- Problem for the satellite or model?
 - Mountains, surface water, coastal areas, areas with small amount of snow





Model and data comparison – FSC

Pan-European optical product ENVEO/SYKE

- In general a very good agreement between model and satellite data throughout Sweden
- However, the temporal variability is different in the most alpine part of the mountains in northern Sweden
- Transmissivity model is welladapted to boreal forests.





Assimilation experiment

- 9 non-regulated basins with discharge observations
- Rather small (~1000 km²)
- Distributed on "good" and "bad" areas according to previous comparison
- <u>5 types of simulations:</u>
 - 1) Deterministic (single simulation)
 - 2) Ensemble without assimilation
 - 100 ensemble members
 - Random perturbation on P and T
 - 3-5) EnKF assimilation with
 - 3) SWE
 - 4) FSC (optical)
 - 5) FSCM (multi-temporal optical)



Test-basins represent: 10-85% forest cover 40-950 m.a.s.l (mean) 7-1100 km²



Good exmple: Abiskojokki, northern Sweden. Both SWE and FSC data improve stream flow simulations





Bad exmple: Vattholma, south-east Sweden.

FSC data improve stream flow simulations SWE data deteriorate the stream flow simulations (amount and melt problem)





Overall impact on river discharge simulations

- Overall, rather small changes small improvements just by ensemble-mean
- SWE-assimilation reduced the model performance in 7 and improved in 2 cases
- FSC-assimilation improved model performance in 5 cases

Simulation	KGE	А	в	С	D	E	F	G	н	I.	Improved/reduced performance (sum)
Deterministic	Q	0.44	0.84	0.55	0.67	0.41	0.82	0.82	0.88	0.57	reference
Ensemble	Q	0.47	0.86	0.53	0.64	0.44	0.85	0.82	0.90	0.56	5 improved, 3 reduced (+2)
EnKF_SWE	Q	0.83	0.80	0.19	0.34	0.55	0.49	0.81	0.53	0.56	2 improved, 7 reduced (-5)
EnKF_FSC	Q	0.61	0.85	0.56	0.72	-0.04	0.64	0.82	0.88	0.62	5 improved, 2 reduced (+3)
EnKF_FSCM	Q	0.41	0.68	0.55	0.54	0.47	0.64	0.58	0.80	0.54	1 improved, 7 reduced (-6)



A closer look at the satellite and in-situ snow data

Forcing data

- P, T PTHBV-grid (4x4 km²)
- Elevation EU-DEM, 25x25 m²

Snow data

• SMHI snowdepth stations (point, daily)

Hydropower companies:

SWE point data (bi-weekly)
 Snow courses (once per year)

Satellitdata (CryoLand, HSAF, etc Fractional snow cover 1x1 km² Snow water equivalent 25x25 km²







How to combine model and in-situ data information for assimilation of the passive microwave satellite observation?

Radiation emission model

Ex from Pullianen and Hallikainen (2001)

Spatial distribution of snow (from model or from in-situ data)



Radiation from ground (soil, snow, vegetation)



Saturation of MW emission from snow depths larger than some threshold (150-200 mm)



On-going work: Combined assimilation of in-situ snow data, passive microwave radiance data and spatially distributed snow models

- Forward radiation emission modelling taking snow distribution and snow properties into account
- Model necessary surface properties in the models
- Integration of ground based observations (snow, runoff, water levels, etc)
- Evaluation of impacti on stream flow simulations and seasonal hydrological forecasts

Preliminary resultat:

Modelled GlobSnow SWE by taking snow distribution into account.





Conclusions

- Spatially distributed in-situ snow data do improve seasonal runoff forecasts
- Systematic biases in satellite passive microwave snow data
 - especially in the areas of interest from hydropower point of view
 - areas with high mean SWE and large spatial variability
- Outlook for using satellite based SWE data::
 - Combine information on snow distribution and snow properties from models and in-situ data in forward radiation emission modelling.

Thank you!

Inn Y

E. 10 . W



Test basins:

River basin	Stream flow Station/Code	Code	Lat	Lon	Area (km²)	Elev, mean (m)	Elev, std (m)	Forest (%)	Lake (%)	Description
Tornionjoki	Övre Abiskojokk	А	68.3	18.5	565.1	953.4	261.2	9.9	2.6	North, mountain, alpine
Tornionjoki	Mertajärvi	В	68.3	22.1	390.8	419.4	46.3	47.9	5.2	North, inland forest
Umeälven	Tängvattnet	С	65.9	14.7	194.6	718.1	167.0	18.5	9.0	North-west, mountains
Indalsälven	Medstugan nedre	D	63.6	12.3	224.7	654.7	83.5	24.2	10.6	Central-west, lake area
Ljusnan	Ryggesbo	Е	61.6	15.7	148.9	303.3	71.6	83.4	6.5	Central, inland forest
Testeboån	Konstedalsströmmen	F	61.0	16.4	997.8	255.7	95.6	82.3	5.4	Central-east, coastal forest
Dalälven	Ersbo	G	61.4	12.7	1103.2	732.0	171.8	51.9	0.3	Central-west, mountain, forest
Norrström	Vattholma	Н	60.2	17.8	293.7	38.6	10.4	74.3	3.1	South-east, coastal forest
Söderköpings ån	Ryttarbacken	I	58.5	16.0	7.3	61.8	9.6	35.2	0.0	South-east, agricultural