Benchmarking different approaches for harnessing predictability in climate and hydrologic initial conditions for seasonal streamflow forecasting

Pablo Mendoza¹, Andy Wood¹, Elizabeth Clark², Bart Nijssen², Eric Rothwell³, Martyn Clark¹, Levi Brekke³ and Jeff Arnold⁴

- ¹ National Center for Atmospheric Research
- ² University of Washington
- ³ U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
- ⁴ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers



2016 HEPEX Workshop Ensemble for better hydrological forecasts June 8, 2016

hydrological predictability (land)



Hydrological Prediction:

How well can we estimate <u>water</u> <u>stored on the land</u>?

- Statistical and dynamical approaches.
- Mostly helpful in snowmeltdominated regions.
- Opportunities to improve with advances in process-based models, data assimilation, increased compute power.

Dynamical (ESP)

Opportunities for prediction



Water Cycle (from NASA)



April-July volume Weber @ Oakley =

- + 3.50 * Apr 1st Smith & Morehouse (SMMU1) SWE
- + 1.66 * Apr 1st Trial Lake (TRLU1) SWE
- + 2.40 * Apr 1st Chalk Creek #1 (CHCU1) SWE - 28.27

Statistical with in situ observations

Hydrology model forced with recent meteorological observations



Opportunities for prediction



Water Cycle (from NASA)

Opportunities for prediction



Geopotential height (700 mb) Period: Oct-Dec: Lead time: Jan 1

80

meteorological predictability (climate)

Climate indices

Index	Pattern	
Nino 3.4	East Central Tropical Pacific SST	
Nino 1+2	Extreme Eastern Tropical Pacific SST	
Nino 3	Eastern Tropical Pacific SST	
Nino 4	Central Tropical Pacific SST	
AMO	Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation	
NAO	North Atlantic Oscillation	
PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation	
PNA	Pacific North American Index	
SOI	Southern Oscillation Index	
MEI	Multivariate ENSO index	
WP	Western Pacific Index	
TNA	Tropical Northern Atlantic Index	

Opportunities for prediction



Goals

Use hindcasting to help inform real-time forecasting through:

- □ Identify potential benefits of using <u>more complex methods</u>
- Assessing new methods that use both **<u>climate and land information</u>**
- Demonstrating an over-the-loop paradigm (fully-automated forecast test-bed)



This study: systematic inter-comparison of methods



- 5. Purely statistical hybrid (Stat. Ind+IHC)
- 6. Purely statistical hybrid (Stat. CFSR+IHC)
- 7. Sequential IHC Climate-Error Regression, (SICER)
- 8. Trace weighting scheme, weighting ensembles based on climate info (TWS)
- 9. Equal weighting ensembles with climate indices (EWE)
- Combine best climate (Stat. Indices or Stat. CFSR) with best hydrologic state (BC-ESP or Stat. IHC) based on RMSE (<u>RWE</u>)
- Same as 10, but use Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA)
- Same as 10, but use Quantile Model Averaging (QMA)

- ▶ Develop correlation maps between atmospheric/oceanic variables & runoff.
 - Identify areas with maximum (positive) and minimum (negative) correlations.
 - Compute predictor indices.



Study sites

Five basins upstream reservoirs in the U.S. Pacific Northwest



Study sites



What added value does climate information bring?



Statistical with indices





Equally Weighted ensembles (best clim. + best land)





Example: Hungry Horse

What added value does climate information bring?

0.6 CRPSS_{clim} 0.4 0.2 0.0 Nov 1 Oct 1 Dec 1 Jan 1 Feb 1 Mar 1 Apr 1 only land only climate Land + climate Stat.(Indices) -X-ESP Stat.(Ind+IHC) \rightarrow EWE Stat.(CFSR) ✓ Stat.(CFSR+IHC) -RWE × SICER Stat.(IHC) -▼- BMA ×− TWS \rightarrow QMA

Forecast skill across methods for Apr-Jul runoff

<u>Example</u>: Hungry Horse

What added value does climate information bring?

<u>Example</u>: Hungry Horse

Forecast skill across methods for Apr-Jul runoff



Before January 1: we can beat benchmark only using climate info.

- □ Later initialization: An IHC regression can be as skillful as ESP.
- □ Hybrid approaches appear strongest overall

What added value does climate information bring?

Forecast skill across methods for Apr-Jul runoff

Hungry Horse Reservoir Inflow, MT

Dworshak Reservoir Inflow, ID



Howard Hanson Reservoir Inflow, WA

Libby Reservoir Inflow, MT



Climate info relevant in early initializations, and land info dominates after January 1.
At later initializations, similar or better skill can be obtained with simpler methods.

U.S. Pacific Northwest

Standard indices or custom (reanalysis) predictors?



Standard indices

Custom (CFSR) predictors

Statistical models with standard indices outperform those using custom indices

Outline: 1. Introduction 2. Approach 3. Results 4. Summary

Skill in dry/wet years



What methods do better in dry years?

Skill in dry/wet years



Oct 1 to Apr 1 hindcasts; 1997

What methods do better in wet years?

WY 2016: initialized April 1

Apr-Jul runoff <u>Hungry Horse</u>



Apr-Jul 2016 water supply forecasts starting on Oct 1 Hungry Horse Reservoir, MT (HHWM8)



Website: www.ral.ucar.edu/staff/wood/case_studies_wr/

OverTheLoop Forecast Demonstration Project Seasonal Streamflow Predictions

WR Case Study Watersheds

A small set of watersheds is being implemented for assessment of reservoir inflow forecasts. The watersheds are relatively unimpaired 'headwater-ish' basins that provide inflows for reservoirs managed by collaborators, including the federal water agencies (US Army Corps of Engineers and Bureau of Reclamation).

	Regions	
14 UCO	17 PN	18 CA
TBA	HHWM8 Hungry Horse Res. Inflow DWRI1 Dworshak Res. Inflow HHDW1 Howard Hanson Res. Inflow PRVO Prineville Res. Inflow LYDM8 Libby Res. Inflow	TBA

A larger set of forecast demonstration watersheds that will have forecasts but not be the focus of reservoir manager interactions is shown in a <u>Hydro Case Study</u> <u>Watersheds</u> page. Those case studies were selected from the much larger <u>CONUS-wide dataset</u> of <u>Newman et al. (2015)</u> for use in assessing hydroclimate forecasting data and methods.

These basins are considered relatively unimpaired (part of the HCDN network) but also have water management significance -- eg, provide inflow for reservoirs -- or were included for their relevance to other studies. The basin subsets can change given interest from collaborators. Seasonal WS Forecasts

Current Conditions

onditions Basin Map

Calibration Locat

Location Map Skill Information

Location: DWRI1

Current water supply forecasts for different runoff periods. The forecasts are represented in several ways:

- -- The current forecast is plotted along with hindcasts from prior years (using the same methodology), and their verifying observations. The climatological distribution is also shown by the horizontal dashed lines across the plot.
 - -- PDFs for several forecasting approaches are shown, compared to their historical distributions for 1980-2010.
 - -- Scatter plots of the forecast distributions for all years, versus observations, for the different methods.

Data files are available for the different forecasts below the plots for each forecast period.

May-September | April-July | April-September | Back to Top



Summary and Future work

An inter-comparison framework has been developed including statistical, dynamical and hybrid approaches.

Findings

- Hybrid combinations of climate and watershed predictability provide gains.
- Custom (reanalysis) indices do not outperform standard climate indices.
- Trace-weighting works only if ESP works.

□ Real-time WSFs being operationalized (in process)

- Real-time system IHCs and ESPs coming online with new gridded ensemble forcing.
- Interactions with Boise Area Office (Reclamation) helping shape products.
- Other water management groups interested (e.g., BPA, Tacoma Power, Idaho Power) as well as NWS (NWRFC, CNRFC).

Next Steps

- Operationalize non-WSF predictands (1 month, 3 month)
- **Ongoing diagnostics to understand forecast discrepancies**
- Adding new basins for interested partners

Questions Comments Suggestions

Pablo Mendoza pmendoza@ucar.edu

Andy Wood andywood@ucar.edu